International Journal of Global Engineering (IJGE) E- ISSN: 2456-3099

VOL 2 ISSUE 3 (2018) PAGES 90 - 95

Received: 1/02/2018. Published: 26/02/2018

EFFICIENT CONTENT BASED IMAGE RETRIEVAL USING FUZZY APPROACH

R. Ranjith Kumar[1], G. Premalatha[2]

[1]Associate Professor, [2]Assistant Professor,

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan College of Engineering and Technology, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Finding an image from a large set of pictures is an extremely difficult problem. One solution is to label snap shots manually, but this is very expensive, time eating and infeasible for many applications. Furthermore, it the labeling process relies upon on the semantic accuracy in describing the image. For this purpose, a good deal Content based Image Retrieval (CBIR) systems are developed to extract low-level elements for describing the picture content. However, this method decreases the human interplay with the gadget due to the semantic hole between low-level points and highlevel concepts. In this learn about we make use of fuzzy good judgment to enhance CBIR through permitting customers to specific their necessities in words, the herbal way of human communication. In our gadget the photo is represented by way of a Fuzzy Attributed Relational Graph (FARG) that describes each object in the image, its attributes and spatial relation. The texture and color attributes are computed in a way that mannequin the Human Vision System (HSV). We proposed a new approach for sketch matching that resemble the human wondering process. The proposed machine is evaluated by way of extraordinary customers with unique views and offers first-rate results.

Keywords: CBIR, HSV, Fuzzy Logic, Image Retreival.

1. Introduction

Content-based photo retrieval (CBIR) is an pleasing research region due to the rapid increase in photograph databases in many domains, like clinical photograph management, multimedia libraries, document archives, art collections, geographical facts system, law enforcement agencies, and journalism. Many CBIR structures have been proposed in the course of the last decade. Commercial systems include QBIC, Virage and RetrievalWare. Research structures encompass Photobook, WEBSEEK and Netra. Detailed surveys on CBIR structures can be found in [1-4]. CBIR consists of two major phases: 1) Indexing Phase, in which the authentic image data such as color, shape or texture is quantified in the shape of features, which are subsequently stored in an index data shape together with a hyperlink to the picture of origin. This can be achieved by using the following steps: (segmentation, feature extraction, function vector organization and classification). 2) Retrieval Phase, where looking up an image in such a CBIR index requires description of the preferred photo homes by using either giving a sample photo or by means of directly specifying the image features. This can be carried out by using the following steps: (user query formulation, user question function extraction, question search space strategy and similarity matching). There are three tiers of image content description used for CBIR systems. The low stage description is based totally on features like color, shape and texture. The middle stage description is worried with object heritage and object spatial relation. The excessive level description is primarily based on a notion that can't be without problems captured in a mathematical mannequin (such as: scene, tournament and emotion). There are some key issues worried in CBIR: 1) The semantic gap between the high-level semantic and the low-level aspects of an image. In fact, people decide upon to retrieve pix according to the "semantic" or "concept" of an image. However, CBIR depends on the absolute distance of the image elements to comparable images. 2) The users' subjective intentions, where distinct user may additionally have one of a kind grasp of the equal images. Fuzzy Logic is a effective tool to comprehend this goal. As Fuzzy units can be used to mannequin the vagueness that is normally existing in picture content, consumer question and similarity measure. There are some fascinating processes that use fuzzy concepts in CBIR. For example, Fuzzy C-Means can be used to cluster the image elements [5,6]. In [7], a fuzzy common sense method is introduced to interpret color and its content queries expressed in natural language such as mostly pink and few green. A neuro-fuzzy, fuzzy AND, and binary AND methods are used for fusion of multiple queries. In [8], the notion of dominant fuzzy colour is brought to describe the image, using fuzzy linguistic labels and membership functions for representing the coloration records in terms of hue, saturation and depth as human do. In [9], Hue is represented the use of fuzzy linguistic labels and membership functions. Lightness and saturation is mixed and represented using fuzzy linguistic labels and membership functions as a qualifier for hue. The work in [10] focuses on embedding the uncertainty about shade images, naturally springing up from the quantization and the human grasp of colors, into histogram-type descriptors, adopted as indexing mechanism. In [8] and [11], fuzzy common sense strategies are proposed to map from the low-level texture features to high-level textual standards in order to bridge the semantic gap between them. In this approach Tamura texture elements are which are based totally on psychological studies of human perception. In [12], a Fuzzy Hamming Distance (FHD) is proposed, which is an extension of the traditional Hamming Distance, as a similarity measure between pix in the CBIR retrieval phase. In [13], fuzzy good judgment is imported into photo retrieval segment to deal with the vagueness and ambiguity of human judgment of image similarity by means of adopting the fuzzy language variables to describe the similarity diploma of photo features, not the facets themselves. The fuzzy inference is then used to instruct the weight assignments among a range of picture features. In [14], a number fuzzy color histograms are defined, following a taxonomy that classifies fuzzy techniques as crude fuzzy, fuzzy paradigm based, fuzzy aggregational and fuzzy inferential. In addition, a classification of similarity measures and distances for these fuzzy sets is proposed. In [15], a fuzzy good judgment approach, UFM (Unified Feature Matching), is proposed for region-based photo retrieval. The work in [16], proposes a new CBIR device referred to as FIRST (Fuzzy Image Retrieval System) that can manage exemplar-based, graphical-sketch-based, as well as linguistic queries involving place labels, attributes, and spatial relations. It uses Fuzzy Attributed Relational Graphs (FARGs) to characterize images, the place each node in the sketch represents an picture location and each part represents a relation between two regions. In this paper we propose a new strategy for CBIR. In our work we use of Fuzzy Attributed Relational Graphs (FARGs) to symbolize snap shots with adjustments in the photo function representation in a way that uses the fuzzy set and fuzzy good judgment principles to express the middle stage of image content. In this learn about we attempt to affirm how fuzzy notion helps in narrowing the hole between low level facets and excessive degree ideas and is in a position to mannequin the photograph objects and its attributes and spatial relation. We endorse a graph matching algorithm that simulates the way the people assume when comparing images.

2. Background

2.1 A Fuzzy Approach to Feature-Based Image Representation

CBIR structures oftentimes use a set of elements for photo illustration in addition to some metainformation that is saved as keywords. Most systems use colour facets in the structure of colour histograms to compare pics [19], [20], [21], [22]. The ability to retrieve pix when colour facets are similar throughout the database is done by using texture features [23], [24], [25], [26], [27]. Shape is also an important attribute that is employed in comparing similarity of areas in photographs [4], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32]. Since the user's perception of facets such as color, texture, and shape is imprecise, a fuzzy strategy is an awful lot better acceptable for expressing queries involving ideas such as a rather spherical tree that is dark green and has great texture.

With a simple n-dimensional function vector illustration where every component of the vector corresponds to the value of a characteristic or attribute of the image, it is not easy to cope with such queries. An alternative is to use a illustration in which each issue of the vector shops a fuzzy cost of the attribute.

2.2 Spatial Relations in Images

Spatial members of the family between objects in an image can contribute substantially to the description of its content. For example, an photograph would possibly have a house to the left of a road and below a tree. Freeman [15] defined eleven primitive spatial members of the family between two objects (left of, right of, above, below, behind, in front of, near, far, inside, outside, and surround) and identified that they are fantastic described in an approximate (fuzzy) framework. Very few structures exist that can handle queries that encompass spatial family members between objects. VisualSEEk [10] can handle spatial information in terms of the centroids and minimal bounding rectangles of objects in the image. Del Bimbo and Vicario [11] propose the idea of "weighted walkthroughs" to represent the spatial relationships between objects. However, they do not address the problem of managing linguistic descriptions of spatial relations. NETRA [12] uses two bounding rectangles to outline the spatial place of interest. These descriptions do no longer capture the full expressive power of spatial relations. There have been countless fuzzy strategies to computing the ranges of spatial members of the family between photo regions. The beforehand methods use attitude measurements between pairs of factors the place point in Region A and point b is in region B [16]. Other techniques use projections of regions on the coordinate axes and attempt to motive about spatial members of the family either the use of dominance members of the family [34] or fuzzy common sense [35]. More current strategies have included processes primarily based on neural networks [36], mathematical morphology [37], and gravitational force models [38]. We use the morphological approach, which gives a good compromise between performance and computational complexity.

2.3 Image Indexing

A recent survey [5] concludes that the hassle of indexing photos in a database for environment friendly retrieval has no longer received the interest it deserves. While it is feasible to retrieve a favored image from a small collection by using exhaustive search, greater high-quality techniques are wished with large databases. The essential thinking in indexing is to extract features from an image, map the aspects into points in multidimensional space, and then employ get admission to buildings to retrieve matches efficiently. The key problem here is to use get right of entry to structures that are established to be efficient in high dimensional spaces. A complete survey of the various spatial access methods can be discovered in. Traditional indexing methods such as B-trees used with textual databases are now not well acceptable to deal with pictorial information. Popular multidimensional indexing techniques consist of k-d tree, quad-tree, R-tree, and its variations packed R-tree, V-P tree, TV-Tree, R+-tree, the R*-tree, and the SS +-tree. Another method is to flatten the multidimensional house into one-dimensional space by using the use of space-filling curves and use one-dimensional get right of entry to structures to retrieve facts efficiently. One of the earliest treatments of hierarchical algorithms for quickly search is via Fukunaga and Narendra . In addition to these approaches, clustering and neural nets have additionally been used . These methods, however, come with a lot of overhead complexity and do not fare nicely when the dimensionality is high.

2.4 Relevance Feedback

Relevance comments [39] is used in CBIR structures for two reasons: 1) There can be a massive gap between high stage concepts perceived via the person and low stage features that are used in the system, and 2) human appreciation of similarity is subjective. Most lookup in relevance comments uses one or both of the following approaches: 1) query-point shifting and 2) weight updating. The query-point transferring approach tries to enhance the estimate (in terms of lowlevel features) of the perfect question point via transferring the modern question factor (i.e., estimate) with the aid of a sure amount based on user feedback. Some researchers generate pseudo record vectors from image characteristic vectors . Other researchers estimate the distribution of the applicable samples based on a parametric or nonparametric estimator . The weight updating approach is a refinement technique based on editing the weights or parameters used in the computation of similarity based on the user's comments. Choi et al. have described a method to research the similarity measure based totally on the Choquet crucial and exhibit that it usually outperforms the weighted average method. Our cutting-edge implementation does now not comprise relevance feedback.

3. Experimental Results

Results on the Synthetic Image Database In this section, we describe the artificial photograph database in more detail and current retrieval outcomes primarily based on an exhaustive search of the database. As cited in Section 4.2, the artificial database has been created out of the VisTex texture photographs of MIT Media Lab. We use a complete of 149 snap shots of size 512512 from the VisTex database. The 149 photos come from 16 classes, namely, Bark, Brick, Clouds, Fabric, Flowers, Food, Grass, Leaves, Metal, Paintings, Sand, Stone, Terrain, Tile, Water, and Wood. Each of the 149 pix are divided into sixteen nonoverlapping areas to generate 2,384 photos of dimension 128128. Based on these 2,384 "source images," we synthesize pics that contain multiple regions. In the first step, two or three predefined shapes (such as rectangles, squares, ellipses, and circles) are randomly selected, sized, and placed in random locations in the artificial image. The shapes are then stuffed with a texture chosen randomly from one of the 2,384 pix referred to above. We generate a complete of 1,000 images in this manner. To this set of 1,000 images, we add an additional set of 240 images, consequently making it a database of 1,240 images. These more 240 snap shots are generated as follows: We first select 20 pics randomly from the set of artificial 1,000 images. These 20 pictures are utilized in producing two sets of images, one containing a hundred and sixty images, which we refer to as Extra Image Set 1, and the different containing 80, which we refer to as Extra Image Set 2. To produce Extra Image Set 1, two regions in every of the 20 images are chosen at random. The two areas are then displaced in the advantageous and poor horizontal and vertical directions, one direction at a time. This approach produces eight snap shots from each of the 20 images, all of which are roughly comparable in phrases of spatial relations. To generate the eighty photographs of Extra Image Set 2, we use the following procedure. In each of the 20 photos chosen randomly from the 1,000 image statistics set, a location is chosen at random and the chosen texture is changed by means of a special texture with the equal class label (i.e., via choosing a extraordinary picture with the same category label). This replacement is performed four times to generate 4 similar images for every of the 20 images. Extra Image Set 2 is brought to make it more difficult for the gadget to become aware of and retrieve the relevant images. We use the widespread measures, precision and recall, in exceptional forms, to evaluate the results .

Fig. 1Comparison between (a) exhaustive and (b) clustered searches for the NETRA data set when the image at the top is used as the query (Raghu Krishnapuram et.al.,)

4. Summary and Conclusions

Uncertainty pervades each component of CBIR. This is due to the fact image content material can't be described and represented easily, person queries are ill-posed, the similarity measure to be used is now not precisely defined, and relevance comments given via the person is approximate. To address these issues, fuzzy units can be used to mannequin the vagueness that is normally current in the image content, photo indexing, person query, and the similarity measure. This allows us to retrieve relevant pix that would possibly be neglected by using typical approaches. The plethora of aggregation connectives in fuzzy set idea allows us to outline a similarity measure that is tailor-made to the software area or the user’s taste. The fuzzy attributed relational design (FARG) is a effective mannequin for representing image content in phrases of areas and spatial relations between them. It is standard that object labels are now not crisp, and attribute values such as small and somewhat, as well as spatial family members such as left of and below, are dealt with tons higher through fuzzy techniques. Therefore, the representation can include the vagueness associated with the attributes of the areas as well as those of the members of the family between the regions. FIRST makes use of a quickly and efficient diagram matching algorithm to compute the similarity between graphs. To improve the pace of the retrieval process, FIRST indexes FARGs with the aid of the usage of a novel leader-clustering algorithm.

[1] Rui Y., T.S. Huang, and S.F. Chang, “Image Retrieval: Current Techniques, Promising Directions and Open Issues,” J. Visual Comm. and Image Representation, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 39-62, Apr. 1999.

[2] Jain R.C., “Special Issue on Visual Information Management,” Comm. ACM, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 30-32, Dec. 1997.

[3] Gudivada V.N. and V. Raghavan, “Special Issue on Content-Based Image Retrieval Systems,” Computer, vol. 28, no. 9, Sept. 1995.

[4] Pentland A. and R. Picard, “Special Issue on Digital Libraries,” IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 783-789, Aug. 1996.

[5] Smeulders A.W.M., M. Worring, S. Santini, A. Gupta, and R. Jain, "Content-Based Image Retrieval at the End of the Early Years," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1349-1380, Dec. 2000.

[6] Santini S. and R. Jain, "Similarity Measures," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 871-883, Sept. 1999.

[7] Gudivada V.N. and V.V. Raghavan, "Design and Evaluation of Algorithms for Image Retrieval by Spatial Similarity," ACM Trans. Information Systems, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 115-144, Apr. 1995.

[8] Hermes T., C. Klauck, J. Kreys, and J. Zhang, "Image Retrieval for Information Systems," Proc. SPIE Conf. Storage and Retrieval for Image and Video Databases, pp. 394-407, Feb. 1995.

[9] Forsyth D.A., J. Malik, T.K. Leung, C. Bregler, C. Carson, H. Greenspan, and M.M. Fleck, "Finding Pictures of Objects in Large Collections of Images," Proc. Int'l Workshop Object Recognition for Computer Vision, pp. 335-360, Apr. 1996.

[10] Smith J.R. and S.F. Chang, "VisualSEEk: A Fully Automated Content-Based Image Query System," Proc. ACM Int'l Conf. Multimedia, pp. 87-98, Nov. 1996.

[11] Del A. Bimbo and E.Vicario,"Using Weighted Spatial Relationships in Retrieval by Visual Contents,"Proc. IEEE Workshop Content-Based Access of Image and Video Libraries, pp. 35-40, June 1998.

[12] Ma W.Y. and B.S. Manjunath, "NETRA: A Toolbox for Navigating Large Image Databases," Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Image Processing, pp. 568-571, June 1997.

[13] Petrakis G.M. and C. Faloutsos, "Similarity Searching in Large Image Databases," IEEE Trans. Knowledge and Data Eng., vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 435-447, May/June 1997.

[14] Medasani S. and R. Krishnapuram, "A Fuzzy Approach to Content-Based Image Retrieval," Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Fuzzy Systems, vol. 3, pp. 1251-1260, 1999.

[15] Freeman J., "The Modeling of Spatial Relations," Computer Graphics and Image Processing, vol. 4, pp. 156-171, 1975.

[16] Krishnapuram R., J.M. Keller, and Y. Ma, "Quantitative Analysis of Properties and Spatial Relations of Fuzzy Image Regions," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 222-233, Aug. 1993.

[17] Chan K.P. and Y.S. Cheung, "Fuzzy-Attribute Graph with Application to Chinese Character Recognition," IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 153-160, Jan./ Feb. 1992.

[18] Gold S. and A. Rangarajan, "A Graduated Assignment Algorithm for Graph Matching," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 18, pp. 377-387, Apr. 1996.

[19] Swain M.J. and D.H. Ballard, "Color Indexing," Int'l J. Computer Vision, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 11-32, 1991.

[20] Stricker M. and M. Orengo, "Similarity of Color Images," Proc. SPIE Conf. on Storage and Retrieval for Image and Video Databases III, W.R. Niblack and R.C. Jain, eds., pp. 381-392, 1995.

[21] Carson C., S. Belongie, H. Greenspan, and J. Malik, "Region-Based Image Querying," Proc. CVPR 97 Workshop Content-Based Access of Images and Video Libraries, pp. 42-49, 1997.

[22] Smith J.R. and S.F. Chang, "Tools and Techniques for Color Image Retrieval," Proc. SPIE Conf. Storage and Retrieval for Image and Video Databases IV, pp. 426-437, 1995.

[23] Haralick M.R., K. Shanmugam, and I. Dinstein, "Texture Features for Image Classification," IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 610-621, 1973.

[24] Raghu Krishnapuram et.al., Content-Based Image Retrieval Based on a Fuzzy Approach, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON KNOWLEDGE AND DATA ENGINEERING, VOL. 16, NO. 10, 2004, pp.1185-1199